Ramsey properties and the Katětov order

José de Jesús Pelayo Gómez

Posgrado Conjunto en Ciencias Matemáticas UNAM-UMSNH Morelia, México

Winter School in Abstract Analysis Section Set Theory and Topology Hejnice, Czech Republic.







Pelayo (UNAM-UMSNH)

Ramsey properties and the Katetov orde

• An ideal on ω is a family \mathcal{I} of subsets of ω closed under finite unions and under subsets. We only consider ideals which contains all finite sets.

• An ideal on ω is a family \mathcal{I} of subsets of ω closed under finite unions and under subsets. We only consider ideals which contains all finite sets.

• We think an ideal like a family of "small" subsets and it is the dual notion of a filter. If $A \notin \mathcal{I}$ we say that A is an \mathcal{I} -positive set or only a positive set.

• An ideal on ω is a family \mathcal{I} of subsets of ω closed under finite unions and under subsets. We only consider ideals which contains all finite sets.

• We think an ideal like a family of "small" subsets and it is the dual notion of a filter. If $A \notin \mathcal{I}$ we say that A is an \mathcal{I} -positive set or only a positive set.

• \mathcal{I} is tall if for every $B \in [\omega]^{\omega}$ there is $A \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $A \cap B$ is infinite or equivalently for every positive set C the restriction of \mathcal{I} to C is not the *Fin* ideal.

• We are considering tall ideals because every non tall ideal \mathcal{I} is Katětov equivalent to Fin ($\mathcal{I} \leq_{\mathcal{K}} Fin$ and Fin $\leq_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{I}$).

• We are considering tall ideals because every non tall ideal \mathcal{I} is Katětov equivalent to Fin ($\mathcal{I} \leq_{\mathcal{K}} Fin$ and Fin $\leq_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{I}$).

 As a last comment, remember that the ramdom graph is the unique (up to isomorfisms) such that for every a, b ∈ Fin disjoin sets there is n ∈ ω related with every i ∈ a and not related with any j ∈ b.

• We are considering tall ideals because every non tall ideal \mathcal{I} is Katětov equivalent to Fin ($\mathcal{I} \leq_{\mathcal{K}} Fin$ and Fin $\leq_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{I}$).

 As a last comment, remember that the ramdom graph is the unique (up to isomorfisms) such that for every a, b ∈ Fin disjoin sets there is n ∈ ω related with every i ∈ a and not related with any j ∈ b. The random graph ideal is the ideal generated by cliques and anticliques and it is denoted by R.

We say that $\omega \to [\mathcal{I}^+]_n^2$ if for every $c : [\omega]^2 \to n$ there is $A \in \mathcal{I}^+$ such that c is constant in $[A]^2$.

We say that $\omega \to [\mathcal{I}^+]_n^2$ if for every $c : [\omega]^2 \to n$ there is $A \in \mathcal{I}^+$ such that c is constant in $[A]^2$.

Observation

The classical Ramsey theorem says that $\omega \to [Fin^+]_n^2$. It's easy to see that $\omega \to [\mathcal{I}^+]_2^2$ if and only if $\mathcal{R} \not\leq_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{I}$

Proposition

For every *n* there is an ideal \mathcal{R}_n such that $\omega \to [\mathcal{I}^+]_n^2$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}_n \not\leq_K \mathcal{I}$

Proposition

For every *n* there is an ideal \mathcal{R}_n such that $\omega \to [\mathcal{I}^+]_n^2$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}_n \not\leq_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{I}$

Proof

 We can construct recursively an universal graph with n colors satisfying a property equivalent with the random graph property. Then we take the ideal generated by monocrhomatic sets and that's all. R. Filipów, N. Mrożek, I. Reclaw and P. Szuca asked if the number of colors matter (in other words if ω → [*I*⁺]²₂ is equivalent with ω → [*I*⁺]²_n).

R. Filipów, N. Mrożek, I. Reclaw and P. Szuca asked if the number of colors matter (in other words if ω → [*I*⁺]²₂ is equivalent with ω → [*I*⁺]²_n).

 M. Hrušák, D. Meza-Alcántara, E. Thümmel and C. Uzcátegui answered in the negative way giving an example of an ideal *I* which satisfies ω → [*I*⁺]²₂ and does not satisfies ω → [*I*⁺]²₃. R. Filipów, N. Mrożek, I. Reclaw and P. Szuca asked if the number of colors matter (in other words if ω → [*I*⁺]²₂ is equivalent with ω → [*I*⁺]²_n).

• M. Hrušák, D. Meza-Alcántara, E. Thümmel and C. Uzcátegui answered in the negative way giving an example of an ideal \mathcal{I} which satisfies $\omega \to [\mathcal{I}^+]_2^2$ and does not satisfies $\omega \to [\mathcal{I}^+]_3^2$.

• The main result of this talk is how to improve that result.

For $s\in \omega^{<\omega}$ we define a family of subsets of ω as follows:

•
$$A_{\emptyset} = \omega$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

For $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$ we define a family of subsets of ω as follows:

•
$$A_{\emptyset} = \omega$$

• $\{A_{s \frown n} : n \in \omega\}$ is a partition of A_s in infinite sets.

For $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$ we define a family of subsets of ω as follows:

- $A_{\emptyset} = \omega$
- $\{A_{s \frown n} : n \in \omega\}$ is a partition of A_s in infinite sets.
- For n, m natural numbers there are $s \neq t \in \omega^{<\omega}$ such that $n \in A_s$ and $m \in A_t$.

• With the previous definition we can see the \mathcal{ED} ideal as the ideal generated by $A_{(n)}$ and selectors in the first level.

• With the previous definition we can see the \mathcal{ED} ideal as the ideal generated by $A_{(n)}$ and selectors in the first level.

• For $n \ge 1$ define $\widetilde{\mathcal{ED}}^n$ as the ideal generated by A_s such that |s| = n + 1 and selectors in every level from 0 to n.

• With the previous definition we can see the \mathcal{ED} ideal as the ideal generated by $A_{(n)}$ and selectors in the first level.

• For $n \ge 1$ define $\widetilde{\mathcal{ED}}^n$ as the ideal generated by A_s such that |s| = n + 1 and selectors in every level from 0 to n.

• Note that $\mathcal{R} \leq_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{ED}$ or equivalently \mathcal{ED} has no Ramsey properties.

• Also we can define $\widetilde{\mathcal{ED}}^{\omega}$ as the intersection of $\widetilde{\mathcal{ED}}^n$ with $n \in \omega$.

• Also we can define $\widetilde{\mathcal{ED}}^{\omega}$ as the intersection of $\widetilde{\mathcal{ED}}^n$ with $n \in \omega$.

• The result of M. Hrušák, D. Meza-Alcántara, E. Thümmel and C. Uzcátegui can be translated into this langauge as $\omega \to [\widetilde{\mathcal{ED}}^{1+}]_{2}^{2}$ but $\widetilde{\mathcal{ED}}^{1} \geq_{\mathcal{K}} R_{3}$

Main theorem

For every $n \in \omega$ we have that $\omega \to [\widetilde{\mathcal{ED}}^{n+}]_{n+1}^2$ but $\widetilde{\mathcal{ED}}^n \ge R_{n+2}$. In other words, we have an example of an ideal such that the Ramsey property happens for some n and fails for n+1

Corolary

 $\omega \to [\widetilde{\mathcal{ED}}^{\omega_+}]_n^2$ for every $n \in \omega$.

The idea of the proof

It's easy to see that the Ramsey property with n + 2 colors is not satisfied by $\widetilde{\mathcal{ED}}^n$ because we can do a coloring by levels. It's a little bit hard to see the Ramsey property with n + 1 colors, but we have only see that in every node in the first level or we have a positive set for one color or for each color we have infinite monocrhomatic sets.

Question

The main question of this area is if there is a Ramsey Borel ideal. The \mathcal{ED} 's ideals seen here was F_{σ} and the ideal $\widetilde{\mathcal{ED}}^{\omega}$ is $F_{\sigma\delta}$ but they don't have the strong Ramsey property and in fact every F_{σ} ideal doesn't have the Ramsey property because they have a restriction bigger (in the Katětov order) than \mathcal{ED} . So if it is true then an example should be more complicated.

THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION